arXiv:1704.06478v1 [math.NT] 21 Apr 2017

ON HOFFMAN'S CONJECTURAL IDENTITY

MINORU HIROSE, NOBUO SATO

Abstract. In this paper, we shall prove the equality

$$\zeta(3,\{2\}^n,1,2)=\zeta(\{2\}^{n+3})+2\zeta(3,3,\{2\}^n)$$

conjectured by Hoffman using certain identities among iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{0,1,\infty,z\}$.

1. Introduction

Multiple zeta values, or MZVs in short, are real numbers defined by

$$\zeta(k_1,\dots,k_d)=\sum_{0<n_1<\cdots<n_d}\frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}\cdots n_d^{k_d}}.$$

In February 2000, Hoffman proposed the following conjectural formula on his homepage [5]:

$$\zeta(3,\{2\}^n,1,2)=\zeta(\{2\}^{n+3})+2\zeta(3,3,\{2\}^n)\qquad(n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Here, we used the simplified notations, e.g. $\zeta(3,\{2\}^n,1,2)=\zeta(3,\overbrace{2,\dots,2}^{n\text{ times}},1,2)$. In [5], Hoffman also mentioned that J. Vermaseren had checked his conjecture up to $n=8$ by using the MZV data mine presented in [1]. In [2] or [3], Charlton proved the existence of a rational number q_n such that

$$\zeta(3,\{2\}^n,1,2)=q_n\zeta(\{2\}^{n+3})+2\zeta(3,3,\{2\}^n)\qquad(n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

by calculating Brown's operators D_r's. However, the method based on Brown's operators does not seem to provide a way to determine the value of q_n. Thus, in this paper we give a proof of Hoffman's conjecture by using a completely different approach based on the iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{0,1,\infty,z\}$. More generally, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For $m,s\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta(\{2\}^{m-1},3,\{2\}^n,1,\{2\}^s)\\&=\zeta(\{2\}^{n+m+s+1})+\zeta(\{2\}^{s-1},3,\{2\}^{m-1},3,\{2\}^n)+\zeta(\{2\}^{m-1},3,\{2\}^{s-1},3,\{2\}^n).\end{aligned}$$

This theorem together with the duality relation gives a special case of Charlton's generalized cyclic insertion conjecture (see [2, Section 2] or [3]). In particular, the case $m=s=1$ of the theorem gives Hoffman's conjecture.

In Section 2, we briefly review the iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{0,1,\infty,z\}$ which was investigated in [4] by Iwaki, Tasaka and the authors. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1 using a lemma in Section 2.

The authors thank Michael E. Hoffman for kindly letting us know when the conjecture was formulated.

2. A review of iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, z\}$

In this section, we review the differential formula for the iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, z\}$ given in [4]. Let $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1, e_z\rangle$ denote the non-commutative polynomial algebra generated by three indeterminates e_0, e_1 and e_z over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{A}^1$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^1 := \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}e_z + e_1\mathcal{A}e_0 + e_z\mathcal{A}e_0 + e_1\mathcal{A}e_z + e_z\mathcal{A}e_z.$$

We assume that $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1]$ and define a linear function L on $\mathcal{A}^1$, by assigning a word $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_m}$ in e_0, e_1 and e_z, the iterated integral

$$I(0; a_1, \ldots, a_m; 1) = \int_{0<t_1<\cdots<t_m<1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{dt_i}{t_i - a_i}.$$

Here, the path of integration is taken as a line segment between 0 and 1. Note that, for $w \in \mathcal{A}^1$, $L(w)$ defines a holomorphic function of z on $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1]$. The MZVs are, then, expressed as

$$\zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_d) = (-1)^d L(e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_d-1}).$$

Let $\partial_{z,0}$ and $\partial_{z,1}$ be linear operators on $\mathcal{A}^1$ defined by

$$\partial_{z,b}\left(e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_n}\right) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\delta_{\{a_i, a_{i+1}\},\{z,b\}} - \delta_{\{a_{i-1}, a_i\},\{z,b\}}\right) e_{a_1} \cdots \widehat{e_{a_i}} \cdots e_{a_n}$$

for $b \in \{0, 1\}$. Here, we set $a_0 = 0, a_{n+1} = 1$ and $\delta_{\{a,b\},\{c,d\}}$ denotes the Kronecker delta, i.e.

$$\delta_{\{a,b\},\{c,d\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{a, b\} = \{c, d\} \\ 0 & \text{if } \{a, b\} \neq \{c, d\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\partial_{z,0}$ and $\partial_{z,1}$ reduce the word length by 1. The following is a fundamental property of $\partial_{z,0}$ and $\partial_{z,1}$.

Proposition 2 ([4])**.** *For $w \in \mathcal{A}^1$,*

$$\frac{d}{dz} L(w) = \frac{1}{z} L(\partial_{z,0} w) + \frac{1}{z-1} L(\partial_{z,1} w).$$

Let $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{A}e_z\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the two-sided ideal generated by e_z. The following lemma is useful.

Lemma 3. *If $w \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{A}^1$ and $L(\partial_{z,0} w) = L(\partial_{z,1} w) = 0$, then, $L(w) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $L(\partial_{z,0} w) = L(\partial_{z,1} w) = 0$, $\frac{d}{dz} L(w) = 0$ by Proposition 2 and so $L(w)$ is a constant. Since $w \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{A}^1$, $\lim_{z\to\infty}(L(w)) = 0$, which means the constant is zero. □

3. A proof of Hoffman's conjecture

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. Fix $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We set

$$A_n = (e_1 e_0)^n,\ B_n = (e_1 e_0)^n e_1,\ \overline{A}_n = (e_0 e_1)^n,\ \overline{B}_n = (e_0 e_1)^n e_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{ee}(m,n) &:= A_s\overline{B}_m e_z A_n + A_m e_z(A_s+\overline{A}_s)e_z A_n + A_m e_z B_n A_s \\
&\quad - B_{s+m}e_z A_n - A_m e_z \overline{B}_{s+n} \\
F_{oe}(m,n) &:= A_s\overline{A}_{m+1} e_z A_n + B_m e_z(A_s+\overline{A}_s)e_z A_n + B_m e_z B_n A_s \\
&\quad - A_{s+m+1}e_z A_n - B_m e_z \overline{B}_{s+n} \\
F_{eo}(m,n) &:= A_s\overline{B}_m e_z \overline{B}_n + A_m e_z(A_s+\overline{A}_s)e_z \overline{B}_n + A_m e_z \overline{A}_{n+1} A_s \\
&\quad - B_{s+m}e_z \overline{B}_n - A_m e_z A_{s+n+1} \\
F_{oo}(m,n) &:= A_s\overline{A}_{m+1} e_z \overline{B}_n + B_m e_z(A_s+\overline{A}_s)e_z \overline{B}_n + B_m e_z \overline{A}_{n+1} A_s \\
&\quad - A_{s+m+1}e_z \overline{B}_n - B_m e_z A_{s+n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

for $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Additionally, we define

$$F_{ee}(m,n) = F_{oe}(m,n) = F_{eo}(m,n) = F_{oo}(m,n) = 0$$

if $m < 0$ or $n < 0$.

Proposition 4. *For $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$L(F_{ee}(m,n)) = L(F_{oe}(m,n)) = L(F_{eo}(m,n)) = L(F_{oo}(m,n)) = 0.$$

Proof. We shall prove the proposition by induction on the word length. For $w \in \mathcal{A}^1 \cap \mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1\rangle$, we set

$$\Delta(w) = w - \tau(w),$$

where τ is an anti-automorphism defined by $\tau(e_0) = -e_1$ and $\tau(e_1) = -e_0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{z,0}\left(A_s\overline{B}_m e_z A_n\right) &= A_s\overline{A}_m e_z A_n - A_s\overline{B}_{m+n}, \\
\partial_{z,0}\left(A_m e_z(A_s+\overline{A}_s)e_z A_n\right) &= \begin{cases} B_{m-1}e_z(A_s+\overline{A}_s)e_z A_n \\ \quad - A_{s+m}e_z A_n - A_m e_z A_{s+n} & \text{for } m>0 \\ -A_s e_z A_n - e_z A_{s+n} & \text{for } m=0, \end{cases} \\
\partial_{z,0}\left(A_m e_z B_n A_s\right) &= \begin{cases} B_{m-1}e_z B_n A_s + \tau(A_s\overline{B}_{m+n}) & \text{for } m>0 \\ \tau(A_s\overline{B}_n) & \text{for } m=0, \end{cases} \\
\partial_{z,0}\left(-B_{s+m}e_z A_n\right) &= 0, \\
\partial_{z,0}\left(-A_m e_z \overline{B}_{s+n}\right) &= \begin{cases} -B_{m-1}e_z\overline{B}_{s+n} + A_m e_z A_{s+n} & \text{for } m>0 \\ e_z A_{s+n} & \text{for } m=0, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\partial_{z,0}F_{ee}(m,n) = F_{oe}(m-1,n) - \Delta(A_s\overline{B}_{m+n})$$

for $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{z,1}F_{ee}(m,n) &= -F_{eo}(m,n-1) + \Delta(A_s\overline{B}_{m+n}), \\
\partial_{z,0}F_{oe}(m,n) &= 0, \\
\partial_{z,1}F_{oe}(m,n) &= F_{ee}(m,n) - F_{oo}(m,n-1), \\
\partial_{z,0}F_{eo}(m,n) &= -F_{ee}(m,n) + F_{oo}(m-1,n), \\
\partial_{z,1}F_{eo}(m,n) &= 0, \\
\partial_{z,0}F_{oo}(m,n) &= -F_{oe}(m,n) + \Delta(A_s\overline{B}_{m+n+1}), \\
\partial_{z,1}F_{oo}(m,n) &= F_{eo}(m,n) - \Delta(A_s\overline{B}_{m+n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3 and the duality relation, i.e. $L(\Delta(w)) = 0$ for $w \in \mathcal{A}^1 \cap \mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1\rangle$, we obtain the proposition. □

By Proposition 4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lim_{z\to -0} L(F_{ee}(m,n)) \\
&= L(A_s\overline{B}_m\overline{B}_n + A_m\overline{B}_s\overline{B}_n + A_m\overline{A}_{n+1}A_s - A_{s+m+n+1}) \\
&= (-1)^{s+m+n}\left\{\zeta(\{2\}^{s-1},3,\{2\}^{m-1},3,\{2\}^n) + \zeta(\{2\}^{m-1},3,\{2\}^{s-1},3,\{2\}^n)\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. -\zeta(\{2\}^{m-1},3,\{2\}^n,1,\{2\}^s) + \zeta(\{2\}^{s+m+n+1})\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 1.

References

[1] J. Blümlein, D. J. Broadhurst, and J. A. M. Vermaseren. The multiple zeta value data mine. *Comput. Phys. Comm.*, 181(3):582–625, 2010.

[2] S. P. Charlton. Identities arising from coproducts on multiple zeta values and multiple polylogarithms. *Durham theses*, 2016. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: `http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/11834/`.

[3] S. P. Charlton. The alternating block decomposition of iterated integrals, and cyclic insertion on multiple zeta values. *preprint*, 2017. `arXiv:1703.03784v1 [math.NT]`.

[4] M. Hirose, K. Iwaki, N. Sato, and K. Tasaka. Sum/duality formulas for iterated integrals and multiple zeta values. *preprint*, 2017.

[5] M. E. Hoffman. Multiple Zeta Values. *Hoffman's info page.* `http://www.usna.edu/Users/math/meh/mult.html`.

(Minoru Hirose) Multiple Zeta Research Center, Kyushu University
E-mail address: `m-hirose@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`

(Nobuo Sato) Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University
E-mail address: `saton@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`